

From: Gary Hayes <gary@personalizemedia.com>
To: tvfunding@screenaustralia.gov.au
Cc: Mike Cowap <mike.cowap@screenaustralia.gov.au>
Date: 01/04/2011 11:29 AM
Subject: First Response to Draft Guidelines

Hi again,

Following on from my back door contribution to the guidelines in this article <http://bit.ly/ffbU2r> - here is specific feedback in chronological sequence to the ALL MEDIA PROGRAMS section of the draft.

"What do we mean box"

- these definitions confuse rather than clarify. I would suggest you simply state a definition about Multi-Platform as the generic term in a Content and Production context and have at the bottom of the document a definition of some of the other terms. Transmedia for example is a very subjective term at the moment and cross-media, cross-platform, 360, multi-media, digital, new media, interactive etc etc: are all interchangeable depending who you speak to. Do not start the document with that kind of confusion.

"Two programs are offered...Digital Ignition, All Media Production"

- Great that you are thinking of interesting terms but firstly the term ignite is used globally as part of webjam and open funding pitching presentations eg: <http://www.ignitesydney.com/> and particularly in the US - also 'digital' is a nonsense vague term too in this context and is an industry term referring to a specific commercial sector. Prefer 'Multi-Platform Development' - it is clear what it is, money to help move an idea forward or in some cases could actually wholly fund experimental or 'social good' projects
- All Media Production. Confusion again, given SA funds linear video (tv/film) does this mean that is a part of all media? The term is not widely used and feels like it has been invented by a few industry folk. Please go with Multi-Platform Production

"The All Media Fund does not support projects conceived primarily as ancillary marketing or promotional additions to existing non-interactive screen content"

- I think some of the most innovative multi-platform projects can come from sophisticated 'transmedia' extensions around linear video projects and SA should encourage feature/TV submissions alongside Multi-Platform submissions as a holistic approach.
- Note you also seem to contradict this in the box below that talks about encouraging convergent TV/drama/docs?

ADDITIONS

To help the quality of submissions I would recommend three additions:

- 1 - Define the areas of Multi-Platform as much as possible to help give a sense of

the areas SA expects submissions to come in from. See this detailed description here <http://bit.ly/etZBO1>

2 - Transmedia Bible. Describe on the site a specific type of production documentation that SA and adjudicators prefer to access the project. This should be in the form of a Transmedia Bible and include a variety of sections that indicate the creator has a real handle on what it is they are proposing. This is something I have done with dozens of professionals as well as 65 student professionals. I can provide simple templates and descriptors for this but it contains at high level 1.Treatment. A story-focused overview & reasoning behind the service 2.Functional Specification. A detailed description of the user experience & interface 3.Service Design Specification. Look & feel overview of the visual & audio elements 4.Technical Specification. Detailed look at what and how technology will be used 5.Business Plan. How the service will be funded and/or generate income for example.

3 - Training. I feel a section here should highlight how potential project creators who are considering submission can get advice. This should include labs or seminars from a range of agencies and a directory of SA approved consultants or companies - btw storylabs.us are about to publish a global directory, categorized. This would feed into the 'Development' section

DIGITAL IGNITION - renamed to Multi-Platform Development

- Can still have the same intention and most of the text is fine. Good that it is strong on story-telling, albeit how subjective this is considering good community multi-platform projects enable user contribution, whose stories are often much more engaging and authentic than scripted ones.

- It could be explicit about part of the fund is to actually 'put' multi-disciplinary teams together...SA and approved directories to help you find the right people. (I cannot stress this enough - linear professionals in Australia particularly find it really hard to find good people to work with, SA needs to take a lead and help develop a directory)

"This program does not support the development of console games (i.e.,for Xbox, Playstation)."

- Would suggest pre-approved as some console games can be developed very cost effectively, particularly ports of already developed games

In the eligibility section you should include the Multi-Platform definitions again or point to it as a guide - the current one in the draft (mobile, web and smart phone is waaay too limited or useful)

Available funding should be increased to maximum of \$50 at least if possible but suggest that the normalised amount is \$25k - and it will range from more than just kick-start funding as good services can be created and tested on real audiences for much less. Remove the assumption that this is \$30k for a bunch of consultations and EPOCs electronic proof of concepts. You may even suggest preference will be given to those projects that are able to demonstrate in the

submission that a service can be 'built and tested' and have learning outcomes.

ADDITIONS

- I also feel is a line that says 'projects are encouraged to show a clear community building strategy. Projects must demonstrate why and how their audience or user base will actually be activated'
- All projects in the Multi-Platform Production and Development sections MUST include a KPI section. Key performance indicators should be a section in the proposal - "what will be the indicator that the money spent has returned on its intention?" See my post here <http://bit.ly/giAS45>

So funding decisions could become

- 1 the potential of the project to provide ongoing benefits to the industry, including developing the team's skills or ENHANCING AUSTRALIA'S MULTI-PLATFORM sustainability
- 2 the appropriateness of the proposed development or production strategy and use of funds at its stage of development
- 3 strength of the proposal, including its cultural AND EDUCATIONAL significance, level of innovation in storytelling OR COMMUNITY BUILDING
- 4 the project's potential to connect with AND GROW its target audience
- 5 track record and MULTI-PLATFORM capacity of the creative team
- 6 strength of the marketplace (including level of any co-finance other than Screen Australia and the state agencies)
- 7 diversity of slate.

'Ignite Transmedia Workshop'

- Should be renamed to "Screen Australia's Multi-Platform Lab"
- Remove all references to transmedia (apart from saying, "transmedia storytelling will also be explored" which is a confused term regardless of the current hype.
- Mention the initiative SAMP Lab will include the development of 'experts' resources and directories to help linear story-tellers make the transition
- Also that it will make appropriate recordings available for all practitioners to use

ALL MEDIA PRODUCTION

- change name to Multi-Platform Production
- Agree that 'innovation in linear programming is important here' BUT it must have multi-platform components around it, particularly to drive audiences and engage interest in it. Innovative storytelling in itself without the extensions should be discouraged.
- Please include the definitions again, it is still vague and can be manipulated and mis-interpreted - <http://bit.ly/etZBO1>

TERMS OF FUNDING

- I suggest that the funds are designated differently than \$200k and under is a grant and above SA has a 1% stake in. I defined 4 types of business intentions here <http://bit.ly/etZBO1> and feel that 1 and 2 should be a recoupable investment and 3 and 4 a grant. This should be based on the key commercial driver vs a nominal cut-off figure

Hope some of this is useful

Best Regards Gary Hayes

GARY HAYES

MUVEDESIGN

Alternate, Augmented Reality and Virtual World Development

WEB <http://www.muvedesign.com>

AUSTRALIA MOBILE +61 0404 797 104

LANDLINE +61 2 8097 9851

TRANSMEDIA & EDUCATION

Founding Mentor - <http://storylabs.com.au> - <http://storylabs.us> and - <http://story-labs.co.uk>

Innovation Development Workshops for Film, TV & Corporate

Lecturer, Multi Platform Content MetroScreen and AFTRS

Author soon to be published book on multi platform - "Networked Media
Storytelling: Transmedia Design & Production"

PERSONAL

GLOBAL TOP AD AGE 150 BLOG <http://www.personalizemedia.com>

TWITTER <http://twitter.com/GaryPHayes>

EMAIL gary@personalizemedia.com

ONLINE HUB SITE <http://www.garyphayes.com> (music also)

SKYPE cubrig31

FACEBOOK <http://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=517517305>

LINKED IN <http://www.linkedin.com/profile?viewProfile=&key=537854>

SITE ADVANCED TV <http://www.garyhayes.tv/>

BLOG VIRTUAL WORLD <http://www.justvirtual.com>

YOUTUBE CHANNEL <http://www.youtube.com/hayesg01>

FLICKR PHOTOGRAPHY <http://www.flickr.com/photos/garyhayes/>

The information contained in this e-mail communication may be proprietary, confidential or legally professionally privileged. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. You should only read, disclose, re-transmit, copy, distribute, act in reliance on or commercialise the information if you are authorised to do so. MUVEDesign does not represent, warrant or guarantee the integrity of this e-mail communication has been maintained nor that the communication is free of errors, virus or interference. If you are not the addressee or intended recipient please notify us by replying direct to the sender and then destroy any electronic or paper copy of this message. Any views expressed in this e-mail communication are taken to be those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically attributes those views to MUVEDesign and is authorised to do so.

Please consider the environment before printing this email
